

Board of Directors Actions – January 15, 2022

There were 8 Board members in attendance at the January 15, 2022, meeting, with one absence. The meeting was held live/in person at the Sunriver Homeowners Association Administrative Office and was available for viewing via internet broadcast on Youtube.

At its regular monthly meeting, the SROA Board of Directors took the following actions:

FINANCIAL

- No Report or Action. December and January financials will be presented at the February Board Meeting.

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP ACTIONS

- Approved the appointment of Mary Condy as a full-time member and Louise Howard as an alternate member of the Owner Enrichment Committee.
- The Board authorized the expenditure of already budgeted 2022 capital reserve funds to be spent on three additional shade structures at the Member Pool.

NON-FINANCIAL

- Approved minutes from the December 18, 2021, Work Session and December 20, 2021, Business Meeting.
- The Board received the monthly General Manager's report for SROA Departments for the month of December 2021.
- The Board received the monthly committee/liaison reports for the month of December 2021.
- The Board received the Sunriver Service District report for December 2021.
- The Board considered a request from the Owner Enrichment Committee for the establishment of a labyrinth on SROA Common Property. A motion and two subsequent amended motions were made for approval, but the motions did not pass.
- The Board considered a recommendation from the Covenants Committee for an amendment to the Sunriver Rules and Regulations to require dogs to be on leashes on Sunriver pathways. A motion and

two subsequent amended motions were made for approval, but the motions did not pass.

- The Board approved an amendment to the COVID-19 employee vaccination policy requiring employees to be up to date on vaccinations as determined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- The Board approved a Resolution agreeing to a stipulated settlement agreement with Sunriver Water and the Oregon Public Utility Commission regarding a proposed water rate increase.

EXECUTIVE SESSION: Held at the Friday, January 14th meeting only.

OWNERS FORUM - One owner spoke at the Friday (14th) work session and ten letters were read into the record - additional letters were recognized as being received by the Board as part of the meeting packet and were available for public review; four owners spoke at the Saturday (15th) business meeting - a summary of all comments are as follows:

FRIDAY, JANUARY 14th:

(LETTERS READ INTO RECORD)

Randy Schneider, 6 Dixie Mountain Lane: Labyrinth – suggested to be tabled and considered as part of the next Infrastructure and Amenities Master Plan. Leash on pathways – need to be careful as there are no fenced yards and the plentiful common areas.

Dave and Marty Kreps, 10 Splitrock Lane: They abut the area adjacent to the proposed labyrinth site and believe that it is unnecessary. They cite the many miles of pathways, benches and open space throughout Sunriver for contemplation. They additionally cited the Deschutes River and surrounding National Forest as available areas. They believe that other considerations, such as an off-leash dog park are a higher priority.

Kate MacKenzie, 12 Quartz Mountain Lane: Ms. MacKenzie noted her opposition to the labyrinth proposed on SROA Common Property based on concerns of loss of habitat for Mule Deer and also whether or not special interest groups should be allowed to establish uses on Common Property. She suggested a community vote for such.

Doug Hurl, 9 Prostaff Lane: Mr. Hurl submitted comments in opposition to the labyrinth stating that it is whimsical and that the natural condition of Sunriver should be allowed to continue as is.

Jerry Rosette, 2 Irish Mountain Lane: Mr. Rosette is in opposition to the labyrinth citing safety issues, affected animal habitat, kids partying/mountain biking, fire danger, commons are for wildlife and viewing, and cost of maintenance.

Brenda McCarthy, 4 Pine Bough: Ms. McCarthy was in favor of the labyrinth and stated that it would engage owners/visitors more closely to the natural environment. She further stated that a labyrinth would appeal to the mind, body and spirit, and would be a welcome relief.

Holly Hendricks, 8 Parkland Lane: She believes that the labyrinth would bring something new and unique to the growing Sunriver community. She stated that things have continually changed over the course of Sunriver development and that changes have made Sunriver a better place. She believes that the labyrinth offers another opportunity that encourages slowing down and connecting to each other and the earth. She stated that the existing activity in the area would make any impacts from the labyrinth negligible. She stated that the Board should not consider arguments about impact to property values more than enhancing the quality of life. As compared to the boisterous activities in Sunriver, the labyrinth could provide calm passive recreation.

Sherri Youmans, 27 Topflite Lane: Ms. Youmans expressed her support for the labyrinth and believes that it would be a wonderful outdoor opportunity for Sunriver. She suggested that the Board give their full support for the project.

Mark Lemley, 18 Muir Lane: Mr. Lemley believes that too much time has been spent on the recycle center and that it is time to close it. By closing the facility, other opportunities such as collection at the home. He stated that without a fence, cameras and attendant, there is no solution to the problems currently being experienced at the center. He was also not in favor of the proposed new facility that was not approved by owner's vote. He stated that SROA has more critical responsibilities.

Elex Tenney, No Address: The comments stated opposition to the previously proposed new center. Comments toward operating the existing facility were offered – these included: a gate with card access, an annual maintenance fee, no contractor/vendor use, staffing with a recycling guide, limit days/hours of use, and continue to pursue side yard collection

(LETTERS INCLUDED AS PART OF BOARD MEETING PACKET)

Sherri Youmans, 27 Topflite Lane: Ms. Youmans expressed her support for the labyrinth and believes that it would be a wonderful outdoor opportunity for Sunriver. She suggested that the Board give their full support for the project.

(IN PERSON SPEAKER)

Dan Hilburn, 4 Tokatee Lane: Mr. Hilburn, who is one of the proponents and presenter4s of the Labyrinth proposal to the Board, discussed the comments that were received in opposition to the proposal – he wanted to address the issues raised therein. He also stated that Sunriver is not meant to be static and is subject to change and adaption. He described the physical attributes of the site and why they are appropriate to address all concerns.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 15th:

(READ INTO RECORD)

Barbara Brocker, 5 Cedar Lane: Ms. Brocker’s comments were in favor of the labyrinth proposal. Her comments cite the appropriateness of the location as related to the openness of the site and it’s proximity to the pathways, homes and available parking areas so as to eliminate illegal parking.

Diane Barton, 4 Lodgepole Lane: Ms. Barton’s comments were in opposition to the labyrinth proposal. She cited Sunriver’s natural setting and offered that the peace and tranquility offer opportunities to quiet the mind.

(IN PERSON SPEAKER)

Dennis Dishaw, 6 Ochoco Lane: Mr. Dishaw stated that he was not in favor of the proposed leash requirement for Sunriver pathways. He stated that Sunriver is a dog friendly environment with most owners being responsible and self-policing the actions of their dogs.

Jane Boubel, 18 Cultus: Ms. Boubel provided oral comments and also submitted a written letter to the Board. Her comments were in favor of the labyrinth proposal and indicated that a one-year trial basis to evaluate any impacts would be appropriate. Her comments also discussed the update of the SROA design manual – she stated that as new homes are built, there must be consideration given to the size and compatibility of new homes to the neighborhood in which they are proposed.

Randy Schneider, 6 Dixie Mountain Lane: Mr. Schneider spoke about the recycling center and stated that while he was initially in favor of a new facility, he now thinks that it is now time to remove the existing facility and rely on side yard service when that begins.

Kathy Brown, 13 Rogue and 9 Quelah: Ms. Brown stated that she believes the existing recycling center should be removed and that Sunriver should utilize side-yard collection. She also stated that she believed a comment made at the

December Board meeting regarding keeping owners on leashes was disrespectful and warranted action under the SROA civility rule.

PC: Board Members
Committee Chairs
Department Heads & SSD Chiefs
Sunriver Service District Board Chair